



Summary of Rumble Strips Responses

We got 74 responses from 35 states. (The system counted 77 respondents, but a number were left blank, despite the questions being required.)

State	Responses	State	Responses	State	Responses
CA	10	AR	2	AL	1
OH	5	CO	2	AZ	1
IL	3	CT	2	HI	1
KY	3	FL	2	IN	1
NJ	3	GA	2	KS	1
PA	3	IA	2	ME	1
TX	3	MD	2	MN	1
WA	3	MT	2	NE	1
		NM	2	NH	1
		NY	2	OR	1
		SC	2	TN	1
		VA	2	UT	1
		WI	2	VT	1
		WV	2		

- Half of the respondents have been in touch with their DOTs, MPOs, and/or local road commissions. Only a third have been in contact with none of the above.
- Only 3 in ten are familiar with their state’s rumble strip policy.
- 40 percent say rumble strips have been added in the past twelve months and 20 percent know of plans for upcoming rumble strips. 11 clubs say they have already been put on popular routes and 7 say the planned strips will be on popular routes.
- 80 percent of respondents who’ve had recent rumble strips say the quality of the route declined.

The quality of the routes has declined, but we generally still use them	54% (23)
The quality of the routes has declined; we now generally avoid them	26% (11)
The quality of the routes are unchanged	14% (6)
The quality of the routes has improved	4% (2)

- The most common complaints were that rumble strips were on shoulders that left less than 4 feet of ride-able space and that strips took up more than six inches of shoulder space.
- 20 percent had info on high priority routes.
- 8 clubs have provided them to DOTs .
- 70 percent (53 clubs) are willing to share route info with DOT, 52 percent (39 clubs) are willing to share with advocacy groups.
- A number of respondents mentioned in the comments that provide high-priority routes is a good idea they hadn't thought of.

Thank you to our partners:

